

Appl. No. 10/725,708  
Examiner: CHEN, TIANJIE, Art Unit 2627  
In response to the Office Action dated June 22, 2006

Date: September 11, 2006  
Attorney Docket No. 10113351

## REMARKS

Responsive to the Office Action mailed on June 22, 2006 in the above-referenced application, Applicant respectfully requests amendment of the above-identified application in the manner identified above and that the patent be granted in view of the arguments presented. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

### Present Status of Application

Claims 1-4 are allowed. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoyama (JP 62-229581). Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama in view of Van Klompenburg (US 3,907,346). Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In this paper, claim 5 is amended to include all the limitations of claim 8. Claim 8 is canceled. Thus, after this amendment, claims 1-7 remain in the application.

Insofar as only allowed or allowable claims remain in the application, the Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests so.

Respectfully submitted,



Nelson A. Quintero  
Reg. No. 52,143  
Customer No. 34,283  
Telephone: (310) 401-6180

P119874NAQ